

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/698,933	Applicant(s) WRIGHT ET AL.	
	Examiner KRISTIE D. SHINGLES	Art Unit 2444	

All Participants:

(1) KRISTIE D. SHINGLES, Examiner.

(2) Jeremy Monaldo, Atty.

Date of Interview: 27 January 2010

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 2:45pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

66, 68, 70, 71, 92 and 103

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kristie D. Shingles/
Examiner, Art Unit 2444

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: With Primary's approval, Examiner proposed incorporating the limitation from claim 68 into each independent claim to bring the application into condition for allowance. Atty authorized Examiner to amend the claims as suggested and the claims were amended accordingly.